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ABSIRACT
This report is an evaluation of a New York City school district educational project funded under Title VII of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965. The project was designed to offer bilingual instructional and supportive services to 9 th and 10 th grade Hispanic students. Its objectives were (1) to increase student performance on the New York City language Fiuency Scale, (2) to increase student performance in reading achievement in both English and in the native language; (3) to increase student competency in social studies, science, and math; (4) to increase the attendance of the students in the program; and (5) to instill more positive attitudes toward self and school in these students. Detailed statistics showed that the objectives of the program were met. (Author/BS)
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CHAPTER I: THE PROGRAM
Program Description

The Bushwick Bilingual-Bicultural Program is designed to offer bilingual instructional and supportive services to 9 th and 10 th grade Hispanic students. The target population consists of approximately 250 Hispanic language dominant students receiving intensive instruction in English as a second language; ingtruction in the native language in the three content areas of social Studies, Science and Mathematics; instruction in their native language not only to develop linguistic skills but also to reinforce their cultural identity. The students receive in addition, Health Education, Music and Art classes with the rest of the school population. Through the latter and also participation in the lunchroom and assembly programs the program participants will be integrated with the rest of the school.

The program was in operation for the entire school year 1975-1976.

Program objectives

1. As;a result of the program, a higher percentage of students at final test time will be rated " $A=C$ " in the expressive mode, and rated " $1-3$ " in the receptive mode than at initial test time on the NYC Language Fluency Scale.
2. As a result of the program, the students will demonstrate a statistically significant gain in reading achievement beyond nornal expectancy as measured by a pre-post administration of the Stanford Reading Achieve= ment Test.
3. As a result of the program, the students will demonstrate a statistically significant gain in native language reading achievement as measured by a pre=post administration of the 1
Pruebas de Lectura Tests of the Inter- American Series.
4. As a result of the program, the percentage of students who pass uni= form examinations in; or receive passing grades in the content areas of Soclal Studies, Science and Mathematics will at least equal that percentage of comparable regular students.
5. As a result of the program, the attendance percentage of program students will be higher than the attendance percentage of the school as a whole.
6. As a result of the program, a higher percentage of students at final test time will relate more positively to the school setting and toward themselves than at initial test time as measured by a pre-post administration of a "Student Self-Rating Scale" in the native language.

## CHAPTER II: EVALUATIVE PROCEDURES

## Evaluation objectives

1. To determine $f f$, as a result of the program, a higher percentage of students at final test time will be rated " $A=C$ " in the expressive mode, and rated "l-3" in the receptive mode than at initial test time on the NYC Language Fluency Scale.
2. To determine if, as a result of the program, the students will demonstrate a statistically significant gain in reading achievement beyond normal expectancy as measured by a pre-post administration of the stanford Reading Achievement Test.
3. To detemine if, as a result of the program, the students will demonstrate a statistically significant gain in native language reading achievement as measured by a pre-post administration of the Pruebas de Lectura Tests of the Inter-American Series,
4. To determine, if as a result of the program, the percentage of students who pass uniform examinations in, or receive passing grades in, the content areas of Social Studies, Science and Mathematics will at least equal that percentage of comparable regular students.
5. To determine,if as a result of the program, the attendance percentage of program students will be higher than the attend. ance percentage of the school as a whole.
6. To determine, if as a result of the program, a higher percentage of students at final test time will relate more positively to the school setting and toward themselves than at initial test time as measured by a pre-post administration of the "Student Seif-Rating Scale" in the native language.

CHAPTER III: FINDINGS
Students Ability to Speak English

The rating scale has seven separate letter classificacions ranging from G ("child has been in class less than one week, and cannot be accurately rated at this tifne") to $A$ ("speaks English, for his age level, like a nacive with no foreign accent or hesitance due to interfezence of a foreign language"). In view of the direction of improvement from $G$ to $A$, the percentage of students rated $A, B, o r C$ at initial and final test times was ascertained. As a resule of the program, there was a significant increase in the percentage of students rated $A_{s} B$, or $C$ in ability to speak English from initial to final testing.

TABLE I

Percentage Change in Stuienta Ability to Speak English from Initisi to Final Testing

|  | No. | Rated $A_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | 7 | \% Diff. | SE\% Diff. | $t$ | $\underline{p}$ |
| Initial | 197 | 65 | 33.5 | 18.3 | 4.90 | 3.735 | 01 |
| Final | 197 | 102 | 51.8 |  |  |  |  |

As can be seen in the above table, $51.8 \%$ of the students were rated $A, B, C$ at final test time, compared with $33.5 \%$ at initial test time an increase of $18.3 \%$. This percentage incresse was statistically significant at less than the . Ol level. It should be noted that no students were rated $A$ at final text Eime and only. $2.5 \%$ of the students were rated $G$ at initial test time.

## Students Ability to Understand Spoken English

The STUDENTS ABILITY TO UNDERSTAND SPOREN ENGLISH rating scale has seven separate number classifications, ranging fzom 7 ("child has been in class less than one week and cannot be accurately rated at this time") to 1 ('understands with ease and without conscious effort the apoken English in the classroom, typical for native English-speaking children of like age and grade level'"). In view of the direction of improvement from 7 to 1 , the percentage of students rated 1,2 , or 3 at initial and final test times was ascertained. Aa a result of the program, there was a significant increase in the percentage of students rated $1,2,3$ in ability to understand spolen English from initial testing to final testing.

TABLE II
Percentage Change in Students Ability to Understand Spoken English from Initial to Final Testing

|  | No. | Reted 1,2,3 |  | \% Diff. | SE\% Diff. | t | p |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Initial | 197 | 77 | 39.1 | 24.8 | 4.88 | 5.082 | . 01 |
| Final | 197 | 126 | 63.9 |  |  |  |  |

As can be seen in the above table, $63.9 \%$ of the students were rated no more than 3 at final test time, compared with $39.1 \%$ at initial test time - an increase of 24.8\%. This percentage increase was statistically 3 ignificant at less than the .01 leve1.

It should be noted that $2.0 \%$ of the students were rated 1 at final test time and only $2.5 \%$ of the students were rated 7 at initial test time.

Reading Achievement in English
In Table I it is shown that both 9 th, 10th and 11 th plus 12 th grades combined increased in total reading achievement by an amount greater than predicted by the historical regression technique.

TABLE III
Significance of Mean Total Grade Score Differences Between Predicted Post-Test Scores and Actual Post-Test Scores on the Stanford Reading Achievement Test - By Grade.

| Grade | No. | PreTest | Est. Posttest |  | Act. | Posttest | Mean Diff. (Est.Act.) | Corr. <br> (Est.- <br> Act.) | SEMd | t | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9th | 63 | 1.84 | 1.95 | . 52 | 2.22 | . 70 | . 27 | . 530 | . 077 | 3.506 | . 01 |
| 10th | 46 | 2.45 | 2.58 | . 91 | 2.82 | . 87 | . 24 | . 755 | . 118 | 2.035 | . 05 |
| 11-12th | 55 | 3.27 | 3.35 | 1.24 | 3.71 | 1.30 | . 36 | . 822 | . 101 | 3.564 | . 01 |

As can $b:$ seen in Table III, the expected gain in reading achievement from pre- to post testing aks about 1.1 school months for both 9th and 10th grade students. For 11th and 12th grate students combined, the expected gain was almost one school month. The 9th grade atudents gained 2.7 school months more than expected from initial to final testing and this difference was statistically significant at less than the .01 level. The loth grade students gained 2.4 school months more than expected from faitial to final testing and this difference was statistically significant at less than the . 05 level. The 11th and 12 th grade students combined gained 3.6 school months more than expected from initial to final testing and this difference was statistically significant at less then the .01 level. At final test time, the 9 th grade students were functioning 7.7 school years below grade norm (9.9); the 10th grade students were functioning 8.1 school years below grade norm (10.9); and the 11th and 12th grade students combined were functioning at least 8.2 school years below grade norm (11.9).

Reading Achievement in Spanish

In Table IV, it is shown that 9 th , 10 th , 11 th and 12 th grade students combined increased their reading achievement in Spanish from initial testing to finai testing. The period from initial testing to final testing was 5 school months. TABLE IV

Significance of Mean Total Raw Score Differences
Between Initial and Final Test Scores on the
InterAmerican Series - Prueba De Lectura - By Grade

| Grade | Inttial |  |  | Final |  | Mean <br> Diff. | $\frac{\text { Corr. }}{\text { (Init.- }}$ | SEMd | t |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D. |  | Final |  |  | p |
| 9th | 44 | 60.9 | 22.47 | 69.8 | 21.95 | 8.9 | . 881 | 1. 65 | 5.394 | 01 |
| 10th | 32 | 79.3 | 15.73 | 81.0 | 11.41 | 1.7 | . 769 | 2. 2.04 | 5.394 .833 | . 01 |
| 11-12th | 49 | 73.3 | 28.93 | 78.1 | 26.83 | 4.8 | . 919 | 1. 03 | 4.660 | . 01 |

As can be seen from Table IV, the 9 th grade studenta gained 9 points from initial to final testing, with the mean gain being statistically significant at less than the . 01 leve1. The mean gain of the 10 th grade students was 2 points and this difference was not atatistically significant. The mean gain of the 11th and 12th grade was 5 points, and this difference was statistically significant at less than .01 level.

## Comnarison of Subjects Passed

Students in the bilingual program received native language instruction in the subject areas of Science, Mathematics and Social Studies in addition to ESL ingtruction,

Regardless of attendance, there was a percentage increase of $4.3 \%$ in the total number of subjects passed when comparing the Spring 1976 term with the Fall 1975 term. In Science, there was an increase of $8.3 \%$; in Mathematics, an increase of $10.5 \%$; but only the percentage increase in Mathematics was statistically significant at the .05 level. In Social studies, these was a decrease of $4.8 \%$. The percentage of students passing ranged from 55.4\% in Mathematics to $78.0 \%$ in Social Studies in the Fall 1975 term. In the Spring 1976 term, the percentage of students passing ranged from $65.9 \%$ in Mathematics to $76.3 \%$ in Science.

TABLE V
Comparison of Subjects Passed
Fa11 1975 and Spring 1976

| Subject | Fall 1975 |  |  | Spring 1976 |  |  | \% Diff. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No.Taking Subject | Subjects No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Passed } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No. Taking Subject | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subjects } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Passed } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Science | 150 | 102 | 68.0 | 114 | 87 | 76.3 | $+8.3$ |
| Social Studies | 186 | 145 | 78.0 | 186 | 137 | 73.7 | -4.8 |
| Mathematics | 177 | 98 | 55.4 | 164 | 108 | 65.9 | +10.5 |
| Total | 513 | 345 | 67.3 | 464 | 332 | 71.6 | $+4.3$ |

A sub-group was created consisting of those students who received native language instruction in any one subject in both the fall and spring term. Based upon this sub=group analysis, $75.8 \%$ passed their subjects in the fall of 1975 compared with 76.1\% in the spring of 1976 , an increase of $0.3 \%$.

Comparison of Achievement of Bilingual and Regular Students in the subject
Areas of Science and Mathematics

As a result of the program, a higher percentage of bilingual students passed the
Einal examination when given in the netive language in Science and Biology than
regular students taking the same subject matter in English.
TABLE VI
Percentage Comparison of Final Examination Results in Science and Biology combined Between Bilingual and Regular Students - June 1976

| Group | Register | Passed |  | \% Diff. | SE \% Diff. | $t$ | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | $\%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual | 93 | 57 | 61.3 | 26.8 | 6.67 | 4.018 | . 01 |
| Regular | 119 | 41 | 34.5 |  |  |  |  |

In the above table, $61.3 \%$ of the bilingual atudents as compared with $34.5 \%$ of the regular students passed final examinations in Science and Biology. The difference of $26.8 \%$ in favor of the bilingual group was statistically significant at less than the . 01 level.

As a result of the program, a higher percentage of bilingual students passed the final examination when given in native language in mathematics than regular students
taking the same subject matter in English.
TABLE VII -
Percentage Comparison of Final Examination Resuits in Machematics Between Bilingual and Regular Students - June 1976

| Group | Passed |  |  | \% Diff. | SE \% Diff. | t | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Register | No, | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Bi-1ingua1. | 33 | 13 | 39.4 | 21.2 | 9.58 | 2.213 | 02 |
| Regular | 77 | 14 | 18.2 |  |  |  |  |

As can been seen from the table, $39.4 \%$ of the bilingual students as compared with $18.2 \%$ of the regular studencs passed the final examination in mathematics. The difference of $21.2 \%$ in favor of the bilingual group was statistically significant at less than the .01 level.

Comparison of Achievement of Bilingual and Regular Students in the Subject Areas of Science and Mathematics

As a result of the program, a higher percentage of bilingual students received passing grades in mathematics than regular atudents receiving instruction in English.

TABLE VIII
Percentage Comparison of Final Grades in Mathematics Between Bilingual and Regular Students - June 1976

| Passed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | Register | No. | \% | \% Diff. | SE \% Diff | $t$ | P |
| Bi-lingual | 143 | 90 | 62.9 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 28.1 | 4.47 | 6.286 | . 01 |
| Regular | 620 | 296 | 34.8 |  | , |  |  |

As can been seen from the table, $62.9 \%$ of the bilingual students as compared with $34.8 \%$ of the regular stedents achieved passing grades in mathematics. The difference of $\mathbf{2 8 . 1 \%}$ in favor of the bilingual group was statistically significant at less than the . 01 level.

## Attendance

The average percentage of attendance of the program participants for the school year 1975-76 was $89 \%$. The average percentage of attendance for the school as a whole was $75 \%$ - a percentage difference of $14.8 \%$ in favor of the program participants.

## Lateness

As a result of the program, the average number of latenesses for program participants was significantly less than the average number of latenesses for a comparable group of regular students.

TABLE IX
Comparison of Average Number of Latenesses of Program Participants and Regular Students

| Group | No. | Mean No. of Late. | S.D. | Mean Diff. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEMn } \\ & \text { D1fE. } \end{aligned}$ | t | p |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program | 105 | 2,91 | 2.79 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 6.27 | . 822 | 7.628 | . 01 |
| Regular | 105 | 9.18 | 7.94 |  |  |  |  |

As can be seen from the above table, the average number of latenesses of program participants was 3 as compared to 9 for regular students. Thus, the average number of latenesses of program participants was 6 less than that of regular students. This difference in favor of the program participants was statistically significant at less than the .01 level.

Attitude Toward School

Some 85 students completed the "Student Self Rating" form in their native language at initial and final administration. There were some 27 statements; on 19, if the student chose 1,2 ,or 3 , it was considered a positive response. On the remaining 8 statements, if the student chose 5,6 or 7, it was considered a positive response.

Using a criterion of $80 \%$ or more positive responses per item at final administration, $70.4 \%$ (19 of 27 items) met this criterion compared with $55.6 \%$ (15 of 27 items) at initial administration.

From initial to final administration, on $74.1 \%$ of the items ( 20 out of 27 items), the participants demonstrated an increase in posttive responses. On 13 of the 20 items, there was an increase of $5 \%$ or less; on the remaining 7 items, the percentage increase ranged from $9.5 \%$ to $17.0 \%$ with the percentage change on 6 of the 7 items being statistically significant at less than the . 02 level.

## Discrepancy Analysis

The evaluator made some five to six site visits. On each of these visits, he met with the coordinator and followed a typical program of the program participants which was as follows: major subject area instruction in native language, native language instruction, and ESL instruction. Attentiveness and discipline was evident as shown by the high degree of involvement and participation of the students in all subject areas as well as the interaction between students and teachers.

GHAPTER IV: SUMMARY OF MAJOR FLNDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Findings: 1. A significantly higher percentage of students were rated higher or better at fingl test time than at initial test time in the expressive mode and in the receptive mode on the NYC language Fluency Scale.
2. Each grade as well as the total group demonstrated a statistically significant gain in reading achievement beyond normal expectancy on the Stanford Reading Achievement Test.
3. The 9 th grade, the 11 th with the 12 th grade combined, as well as the total group, demonstrated a statistically significant gain in native language reading achievement on the Pruebas de Lectura Test of the Inter-American. Series.
4. A significantly higher percentage of students passed uniform examinations in Science and Mathematics than a comparable group of regular students.
5. A significantly higher percentage of students received passing grades in Social Studies than a comparable group of regular students.
6. The average percentage of attendance of students in the program was almost $15 \%$ higher than that of the school as a whole.
$\qquad$ -
7. A higher percentage of students at final test time demonstrated a more positive attitude school and toward themselves than at initial test time or administration.

Conclusions:
The majority of the content of the subject areas was in the native language with some elaboration of concepts and content to the English equivalent. In general, the participation of the students was good. There was generally a good relationship between the teachers and the students. Basically the students did not appear to be lost or floundering, but appeared to be involved in the subject matter.

Recommendations: The evaluator feels that, in view of the magnitude of the many b-ilngual programs thraghout the high schools, in all content areas city-wide curriculum services should be offered to the high schools so that each school can benefit from the experiences/materials developed at other schools. More guldance and counseling for students and parents should be provided, with more emphasis on showing both students and parents the importance of the program for the student in terms of academic achievement, graduation and future. employment. If and when students are placed in the main= stream, there should be follow-up of these students in terms of their achievement and functioning, as well as their attitude toward school and themselves.

In view of the overall increase in pupil performance and attitude toward school and themselves, the program should be continued.
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